

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,431	HIGAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher L. Lavin	2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) Christopher L. Lavin.

(2) Douglas Goldhush (Reg. 33,125).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claims 9 and 16 both claimed a program which is not statutory under 101. The examiner suggested adding "a computer readable medium" to the claims which would overcome 101. The applicant's representative agreed to this change.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 ISHRAT SENEBALI  
 PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)